



AGENDA NO: A-5

MEETING DATE: October 12, 2021

**AGENDA CORRESPONDENCE
RECEIVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL FOR
PUBLIC REVIEW PRIOR TO THE MEETING**

From: [betty winholtz](#)
To: [Heather Goodwin](#)
Subject: Fw: agenda item a-5
Date: Tuesday, October 12, 2021 1:17:44 AM

MS. Goodwin:

Please post this email online to Council Correspondence for Tuesday night's City Council meeting. I understand Ms. Swanson is unavailable.

Sincerely,
Betty Winholtz

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: betty winholtz <[REDACTED]>
To: John Headding <jheadding@morrobayca.gov>; Jeffrey Heller <jheller@morrobayca.gov>; Dawn Addis <daddis@morrobayca.gov>; Laurel Barton <lbarton@morrobayca.gov>; Jennifer Ford <jford@morrobayca.gov>
Cc: Dana Swanson <dswanson@morrobayca.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, October 12, 2021, 01:08:31 AM PDT
Subject: agenda item a-5

Dear City Council:

As the City's delegates to SLORTA, how is the mayor making, and how will Council Member Ford make, sure that the regional transit (bus) system is prepared for the delays at S. Bay and Quintana due to pipeline work?

As the City's member and alternate, respectively, to the Air Pollution Control District (APCD), to what extent is the mayor, and will Council Member Ford be, alerting and pressuring the APCD to enforce Best Management Practices regarding air quality due to all the earth moving and strong winds on the WRF site, particular since it is next to the sensitive receptor site of Casa de Flores?

Sincerely,
Betty Winholtz



AGENDA NO: A-6

MEETING DATE: October 12, 2021

**AGENDA CORRESPONDENCE
RECEIVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL FOR
PUBLIC REVIEW PRIOR TO THE MEETING**

From: [betty winholtz](#)
To: [John Headding](#); [Jeffrey Heller](#); [Dawn Addis](#); [Laurel Barton](#); [Jennifer Ford](#)
Cc: [Scot Graham](#); [Heather Goodwin](#)
Subject: agenda item a-6
Date: Tuesday, October 12, 2021 1:30:58 AM

Dear City Council:

I am wondering why the City is using the LA-Long Beach-Anaheim CPI for this lease agreement, when the City uses the SF-Oakland-Hayward CPI for the City's Master Fee Schedule.

Sincerely,
Betty Winholtz



AGENDA NO: B-1

MEETING DATE: October 12, 2021

**AGENDA CORRESPONDENCE
RECEIVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL FOR
PUBLIC REVIEW PRIOR TO THE MEETING**

From: [betty winholtz](#)
To: [John Headding](#); [Jeffrey Heller](#); [Dawn Addis](#); [Laurel Barton](#); [Jennifer Ford](#)
Cc: [Greg Kwolek](#); [Damaris Hanson](#); [Heather Goodwin](#)
Subject: agenda item b-1
Date: Tuesday, October 12, 2021 2:23:23 AM

Dear City Council:

In the table on page 126 of 194, please explain the justification for the 42% drop in available water between years 2 and 3, when the change in availability between the other years was only 6% and 15%, respectively.

On page 7 of the UWMP, or page 140 of 194 of the packet, what is the justification for not increasing projected water use between 2040 and 2045 when every other 5-year period increased?

What stage of water conservation is the City currently in? Where is it posted, or why isn't it posted, on the City's website? I could not find it under Hot Topics or Search.

Sincerely,
Betty Winholtz



AGENDA NO: C-1

MEETING DATE: October 12, 2021

**AGENDA CORRESPONDENCE
RECEIVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL FOR
PUBLIC REVIEW PRIOR TO THE MEETING**

From: [betty winholtz](#)
To: [John Headding](#); [Jeffrey Heller](#); [Dawn Addis](#); [Laura Barton](#); [Jennifer Ford](#)
Cc: [Greg Kwolek](#); [Heather Goodwin](#)
Subject: agenda item c-1
Date: Tuesday, October 12, 2021 3:15:34 AM

Dear City Council:

I have italicized my questions.

I thought the past amendments for Cogstone and Far Western were supposed to do what they are now proposing. Far Western's amendment in January was supposed to do--"Archaeological data recovery excavations"--before March 2021, so Anvil could put the pipe bridge in and place the pipes across it in September 2021. (see their amendment no. 5) Yet, those dates have passed.

The report states that more sites are being covered than anticipated. *Who failed to anticipate correctly?* It's common knowledge that the chosen pipeline route is heavy with "archaeological, paleontological, and Native American sites. *Should the designer be covering this extra cost? And/or is it the multi-site work that Anvil is doing that is causing this extra cost? Is construction that far behind schedule that Anvil must multi-task?* (The date in the last paragraph on page 158 of 194 can't be correct; it hasn't occurred.)

The more amends made for archaeological work, the more evidence that Council chose the most expensive, and archaeologically destructive, route for the pipelines.

The additional charges for Anvil are also a result of archaeological delays as well as wrong as-built drawings. *If I read the report correctly, Anvil is getting \$443,000 for not working; is this true? Do the Anvil change orders presented tonight include the digging issues in from of the roundabout Coffee Co.?*

It appears that there is no project manager that is looking out for the the City's or the ratepayers' wallets as Council promised when they created the position and hired Corollo.

Sincerely,
Betty Winholtz