



AGENDA NO: A-4

MEETING DATE: October 26, 2021

**AGENDA CORRESPONDENCE
RECEIVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL FOR
PUBLIC REVIEW PRIOR TO THE MEETING**

Dana Swanson

From: betty winholtz <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 12:42 AM
To: John Heading; Jeffrey Heller; Dawn Addis; Laurel Barton; Jennifer Ford
Cc: Dana Swanson; Chris Neumeyer
Subject: agenda item a-4

Dear City Council:

AB361 neither requires city meetings stay on zoom, nor demands that in-person meetings take place. It leaves that discretion up to each city.

Morro Bay Council and Staff or choosing to stay on zoom. The school board, the board of supervisors, LAFCO, the city of SLO all meet in person. Schools, churches, the movie theater all meet in person. Individually, each of you are out in public, at church, attending events.

Yet, you will not allow the public to meeting with you in a safe distancing fashion in the Veterans Hall. What's up?

Sincerely,
Betty Winholtz



AGENDA NO: B-1

MEETING DATE: October 26, 2021

**AGENDA CORRESPONDENCE
RECEIVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL FOR
PUBLIC REVIEW PRIOR TO THE MEETING**

Heather Goodwin

Subject: FW: Item B-1
Attachments: Hello City Council.docx

From: Dana Swanson <dswanson@morrobayca.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 12:52 PM
To: Heather Goodwin <hgoodwin@morrobayca.gov>
Subject: FW: Item B-1

From: Partners In Equestrian Therapy <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 12:47 PM
To: council@morrobay.ca; Dana Swanson <dswanson@morrobayca.gov>
Subject: Item B-1

Hi Dana!

I am attaching a letter in this email, I can't seem to attach it to my letter. My letter to Council is attached as a word doc.

Thanks!

Melanie



Hello City Council!

My name is Melanie Williams Mahan. I am a resident of the Cloisters of Morro Bay. I am a homeowner since 2001, and a full-time resident here since March of 2003. I am currently on the Cloisters Design Review Committee. The Committee was put in place in order to ensure conformity in the planned development that is one of a kind on the California Coast and provides all Morro Bay citizens our beautiful park and walking trails. I love my neighborhood and I consider it an honor to do this work on behalf of the residents of the Cloisters. These people are my neighbors, friends, and the many visitors to our park who have become part of this community. Each homeowner here pays approximately \$1250 per year to the City of Morro Bay for upkeep and improvements to our park and open space. We have a very clear design manual and we feel it is our responsibility to adhere to it. A group of residents in the Cloisters meet yearly with the City to go over what needs to be fixed, what needs attention, and what is working. I am part of that group, as well.

One of the issues we have over this 5-home .99 acre mini-development is our inability to meet with the developer/owner. It seems to me that that responsibility has become the City Planners jurisdiction. We have very clear standards we are to follow in keeping this planned community "planned." The Charter of the Cloisters very specifically spells out our responsibilities and right now I feel we are being

dismissed by City Planning. This should not be an adversarial communication, but for me, sadly it seems we are having to deal with this in a manner we aren't comfortable with. Remember, we live here and will be here after construction of this mini subdivision. Our role in this process is not being respected. This Committee has a responsibility to the homeowners here.

The permit amendment I have attached is the last communication from the California Coastal Commission on February 26 of 2020. Part of the verbiage we are trying to settle is the need for the common driveway, guest parking, sidewalks, curb cuts, and a storm drain. This plan has a common driveway acting as a street. However, there is NO guest parking in this mini-subdivision. The City and the developer/lot owner don't seem to be aware that in the Cloisters, street parking IS guest parking in front of all 120 lots. Our goal here is very simple, keep new builds in conformity with the rest of the Cloisters.

As a homeowner and resident of the Cloisters, my concern with this parking plan is that the traffic and congestion will intensify with five large homes and 2 Additional Dwelling Units using Coral Avenue and San Jacinto as guest parking. I have asked for a traffic study and have received nothing from City Planning. This corner is the main and only entrance/exit to the Cloisters and one of 2 or 3 entrances/exits from the Beach Tract. And this intersection is right on top of the

Highway One and San Jacinto intersection. That intersection is very dangerous, as we've all seen.

Tonight's proposed vote on B-1 seems premature. (The actual noticing of this item is based on a Coastal Commission amended permit that has already gone to City Planning on July 16, 2019, if I am not mistaken? This is an example of our communication issue with the City.) I am asking tonight that we possibly reschedule this vote until we are sure these plans conform as best they can. I have a call into the California Coastal Commission with questions about the Notice of Proposed Permit Amendment of February 2020. Because of the pandemic, they are working remotely. I would ask if we could postpone until we hear from Coastal Commission? We want this build to commence as soon as possible, we have been dealing with this for over 3 years and still have some of the same questions we had 3 years ago.

Thank you!

Melanie Williams Mahan

Resident of The Cloisters in Morro Bay

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSIONCENTRAL COAST DISTRICT OFFICE
725 FRONT STREET, SUITE 300

SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060-4508

VOICE (831) 427-4863

FAX (831) 427-4877

**NOTICE OF PROPOSED PERMIT AMENDMENT****Date:** February 26, 2020**To:** All Interested Parties**From:** Susan Craig, Central Coast District Manager
Colin Bowser, Coastal Planner**Subject:** Proposed Amendment to Coastal Development Permit (CDP) A-4-MRB-91-044

Applicant: Morro Bay Ventures LLC

Original CDP Approval

CDP A-4-MRB-91-044 was approved by the Coastal Commission on July 9, 1992 and provided for the subdivision of an 84.4-acre parcel into 120 residential lots, three open space lots, one 0.99-acre lot intended at the time for future development of a fire station, and internal roads and other infrastructure, all located west of Coral Avenue and adjacent to Morro Strand State Beach in the City of Morro Bay. The subdivision approved under CDP A-4-MRB-91-044 is known as the "Cloisters."¹

Proposed CDP Amendment

CDP A-4-MRB-91-044 would be amended to allow the subdivision of the vacant 0.99-acre lot into as many as five residential lots. The proposed amendment would also allow construction of infrastructure, specifically a common driveway, guest parking and associated fencing, curb cuts, a sidewalk, the installation of storm drainage and utility infrastructure to serve up to five future homes, and site preparation work, including removal of eucalyptus trees. The lot is located at 2783 Coral Avenue within the Cloisters subdivision in the City of Morro Bay. The Commission's reference number for this proposed amendment is **A-4-MRB-91-044-A2**.

Executive Director's Immateriality Determination

Pursuant to Title 14, Section 13166(b) of the California Code of Regulations, the Executive Director of the California Coastal Commission has determined that the proposed CDP amendment is immaterial for the following reasons:

The City of Morro Bay no longer intends to construct a fire station on the 0.99-acre lot because the City determined that adequate fire service already exists nearby. Thus, the proposed project would allow the subdivision of this vacant, 0.99-acre lot into as many as five residential parcels within the existing residential Cloisters neighborhood. The lot is located near Highway 1 and about a quarter mile inland from the beach where the

¹ The homes in the Cloisters were subsequently approved under separate CDPs issued by the City of Morro Bay

Dana Swanson

From: Kerrigan Mahan [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, October 25, 2021 8:40 PM
To: John Heading; Jeffrey Heller; Dawn Addis; Laurel Barton; Jennifer Ford; Council; sgraham@morrobayca.com; Scott Collins; nhubbard@morrobayca.com
Subject: 2783 Coral Avenue/Lot 124

Dear All,

The Design Review Committee has already sent you a joint email regarding the matter of the development of the resubmittal by the developer for Lot 124. I am now writing to you as a citizen, property owner, and resident of Morro Bay.

Without launching into the lengthy and sorted history of this property, the fact of the matter is that Lot 124 was originally designated by the Cloisters developer to have served as a new fire station for north Morro Bay. Unfortunately, to date, a much needed new fire station is still needed in north Morro Bay. One un-manned truck on Bonita isn't a new fire station.

During these very months that we're currently in, we have a very serious fire condition on the Cloisters properties that are bordering the high school. Serious mosquitos in the summer months and serious fire hazard in the fall months, all from the dry and in some cases dying willows and the drainage ditch.

That all being said, the fact that the Planning Commission or the City would have passed and approved six houses with a private drive with no parking on a busy corner lot at the corner of San Jacinto and Coral is rather perplexing. The design committee appealed this ruling with consideration to density, which is one of our responsibilities as elected Design Committee members. Our concerns were overruled by the City and we appealed to the Coastal Commission, which ruled for a reduction from 6 houses to 5 houses, and, as you saw in our joint email, to include curb cuts, sidewalks, drainage, et al.

That appeal took place nearly two years ago, and we never heard anything further from the developer. Recently, we finally saw the resubmittal, with basically all of the same parking problems that existed in the first submittal, only now, all of the houses actually have driveways, which is excellent, and well they should, as this conforms with the rest of the Cloisters.

What does not conform with the Cloisters is a private drive in front of the houses that doesn't allow for any parking, has no sidewalks, no curbs, and no gutters, with the developer suggesting that the private driveways will serve as guest parking.

Let's set the record straight on this, there is no guest parking, per se, in the Cloisters, save the streets that are in front of all of the Cloisters houses, with no exceptions. To suggest that a homeowner's private driveways would now serve as guest parking is unrealistic and bizarre. Many people, if not most, park their cars in their own driveways, with their garages full of stuff.

Now, I'm hearing rumors that the Design Committee is responsible for holding up this project. This is simply untrue. The design committee has done nothing to hold this project up and we have done everything to try to make it work. In its current iteration, the new plan does NOT conform with the Cloisters. Regardless of not conforming, I would ask you to please try to envision 5 households and 2 ADUs having any and all outside parking taking place on Coral and maybe even San Jacinto. Have we thought about holidays and parties, etc? This simply doesn't work on any level.

On that note, I would ask and impress upon all of you to very seriously consider a viable alternative to practically solving these parking concerns by designing bulb-outs with decorative pavers, including an ADA compliant four-foot wide pedestrian path, as has the committee suggested in its joint email. Albeit a severe compromise, this could ease the inherent parking debacle and allow this project to move forward, as is the Design Committee's desire and is mine as a resident, citizen, and homeowner in the Cloisters.

Respectfully,

Kerrigan Mahan

Dana Swanson

From: betty winholtz [REDACTED] >
Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 2:50 AM
To: John Heading; Jeffrey Heller; Dawn Addis; Laurel Barton; Jennifer Ford
Cc: Dana Swanson; Nancy Hubbard
Subject: agenda item b-1

Dear City Council:

1. As a major modification, why isn't this project heard by the Planning Commission before it is heard by the City Council?
2. In any development that designs a dead end drive or circle, private or public, the fire department has always insisted on a hammerhead turn around for emergency vehicles. Yet, there is no comment regarding safety vehicles ingress and egress. Is there a new fire protection philosophy in the fire department?
3. It is acknowledged that the Planning Commission's Coastal Development Permit (CDP) is void, and the CA Coastal Commission's (CCC) CDP replaces it. However, it is not attached as an Exhibit. Apparently, it is part of the Cloister's Design Committee's submittal. If the Design Committee had not attached it, then the City Council would not have "duly considered all evidence." Council could violate the CCC permit:

"CDP A-4-MRB-91-044 would be amended to allow the subdivision of the vacant 0.99-acre lot into as many as five residential lots. The proposed amendment would also allow construction of infrastructure, specifically a common driveway, guest parking and associated fencing, curb cuts, a sidewalk, the installation of storm drainage and utility infrastructure to serve up to five future homes, and site preparation work, including removal of eucalyptus trees. The lot is located at 2783 Coral Avenue within the Cloisters subdivision in the City of Morro Bay. The Commission's reference number for this proposed amendment is A-4-MRB-91-044-A2."

The City may not be requiring certain infrastructure, the CCC is permitting that infrastructure. It is permitting 5 houses; it is permitting all tree removal; it is permitting parking, curb, gutter, and sidewalk.

4. On page 86 of 412, the November 15, 2018 letter from the City to Mr. Huber, it states under the heading **Description**, "request to create a residential subdivision within the Cloisters Subdivision Tract 1996, subject to the Cloisters CC&R and Design restrictions." Being "subject to" is not a recommendation, it's a must. The Design Committee's compromises need to be incorporated as part of the conditions.

5. How will Planning Condition #9 be enforced? It states:

"Trees removed from the subject site to accommodate the development shall be replaced, at a minimum, at a 1:1 ratio in an off-site location with a species of tree suitable to replace or enhance Raptor habitat areas"

Yet, the plans show tree replacement on site with arbutus, ceanothus, melaleuca, and swan hill olive: none of which are trees of stature for raptor habitat.

This is my testimony for the record on this public hearing item. Please answer my questions during your dialogue.

Sincerely,
Betty Winholtz



AGENDA NO: C-1

MEETING DATE: October 26, 2021

**AGENDA CORRESPONDENCE
RECEIVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL FOR
PUBLIC REVIEW PRIOR TO THE MEETING**

From: [Sean Green](#)
To: [Council](#)
Cc: [CityClerk](#)
Subject: Council Agenda Item C-1: Stacks Removal
Date: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 1:02:18 PM

Council and staff,

I hate to discourage progress, but another public commenter is correct to say that no formal community engagement opportunity has taken place to this point regarding the future of the Morro Bay stacks. The (successful) September information session was published and advertised as an opportunity for residents to learn about Vistra's property, process, and potential outcomes, and to have residents' questions answered, not as an opportunity for community engagement or public comment, as is part of the required process.

Though I personally believe the stacks should and will come down in the near future, bypassing the public engagement process directly contradicts Council's stated goal to "improve communication and engagement with the community" and gives many residents good reason to worry about the speed at which large-scale development of battery plant infrastructure is moving forward without their knowledge or consent. The good news is that most residents are likely on the same side of the stacks issue, but that doesn't mean dissenters shouldn't have the opportunity - during a separately published meeting or comment period - to formally dissent.

Thank you for your time, consideration of this important issue, and adherence to city goals.

Sean Green
Morro Bay, CA

From: [Ric Deschler](#)
To: [Council](#)
Subject: Item C-1 Power Plant
Date: Sunday, October 24, 2021 12:51:44 AM

Item C-1 Power Plant MOU

Dear Mayor and Members of Council:

I would encourage the council to release the City of all future liability and obligations of the power plant structures and stacks back to Vistra. It would be in the best interest of the City to shed the ***extreme financial obligations and liability*** associated with the stacks in both the short- and long-term interest of the citizens of Morro Bay. I hope the Council will also encourage Vistra to remove the stacks as soon as possible.

Vistra will still be obligated to go through the regular and rigorous processes to remove the facilities and the long, involved processes to develop the property in any manner in the future. None of those long processes can begin until the issue of removing the stacks can be resolved and our skyline is returned to a more natural and inviting view. Those future plans will still go through the public process as do all development projects.

Please, do not delay this process any further. We have been talking about this for years.

It is time to bring the focus of Morro Rock back into a clear view for residents and visitors alike.

Thank you,

Ric Deschler

From: [Peggy Mandeville](#)
To: [Council](#)
Subject: Item C-1 Oct 26 agenda
Date: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 10:50:42 AM

Dear City Council,

I watched the Community Forum on the Stacks and thought it was very useful and informative. I also recently watched the Planning Commission meeting on the subject. I have given much thought to what I have learned and have concluded that the stacks should come down for the following reasons:

1. Vistra has committed to remove the stacks which could easily cost more than what they estimate.
2. It would be cost prohibitive for the City to remove the stacks at a later date.
3. If the City decides the stacks should remain, the City would be taking on additional and on-going costs for maintenance and liability insurance. The City has higher priority needs for its limited budget.
4. The City won't have to take on any additional cost or liability if Vistra removes the stacks.
5. Removal will provide more opportunities for reuse of the area.
6. Morro Rock is the City's visual icon, not the stacks.

Thank you.

Peggy Mandeville, Morro Bay Resident

From: [Dana Swanson](#)
To: [Heather Goodwin](#)
Subject: FW: Agenda Item C-1
Date: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 8:51:04 AM
Attachments: [Stacks and Plant 1021.pages-tef.zip](#)

From: Susan Stewart [REDACTED] >

Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 8:46 AM

To: John Heading <jheading@morrobayca.gov>; Jeffrey Heller <jheller@morrobayca.gov>; Dawn Addis <daddis@morrobayca.gov>; Laurel Barton <lbarton@morrobayca.gov>; Jennifer Ford <jford@morrobayca.gov>

Cc: Scott Collins <scollins@morrobayca.gov>; Dana Swanson <dswanson@morrobayca.gov>

Subject: Agenda Item C-1

Agenda Item C-1

Honorable Mayor and City Council,

The question of whether to preserve the stacks is an emotional one for this community. And while I honor our history and lean towards the preservation of social and cultural features, we also need to make decisions that are fiscally, environmentally and socially responsible.

I was fortunate to be able to tour the Vistra property, including the potential future site of the battery energy storage system and inside of the power plant. We were also allowed to enter the first stack, which was a unique and powerful experience. Structures of this size and scope are unlikely to ever again be built on the coast, at least in our small town. Nor would they be encouraged or approved by any regulatory bodies or most of the citizens of our community. If we had the time (and perhaps we do) it would be interesting to engage visionary investors in offering their best proposals for the site. But dreams and reality rarely land on the same page.

Ultimately, without an immediate and verified investor willing to “adopt” the stacks, it would be irresponsible of the city to take on the fiduciary liabilities for them. The notion that I could, for example, envision concerts inside the brick-lined stack doesn’t mean it could really happen--or that it would generate the kind of money needed for long term maintenance.

As we approach the reuse or redevelopment of this property we need to consider how much we need, versus how much we can get. And whether we as a small town have the capacity to accommodate what could be done given the size of the site versus what our infrastructure, both mechanical and cultural, can absorb. That is, when does the cost of increased activity (for traffic, air quality, noise, congestion, water and other utilities, wildlife, general stress) outweigh the gain?

We do need, and quickly, a preliminary Master Plan for this site, one that allows flexibility rather than being prescriptive and limiting. I believe we could consider anything from an open space/recreational area that pays tribute to both prehistoric and historic activities in the area, to an educational institution or museum for clean energy development, to a small conference center with a variety of other light industrial uses. (A cannery?!) The covenants related to potential contaminants on the site are in conflict with the designation of future uses as “visitor serving.” If we were to find a developer who believed the return on investment costs for both mitigation and a new project, would that project necessarily be too large for this town? Again, we need to answer the question of how much (and what) we need to survive as a city and pave the way for those solutions.

You have until the end of 2022 to notify Vistra as to whether the city chooses to keep the stacks or let them go, giving a short amount of time to solicit proposals from qualified applicants. Your decision is whether to take this step now, or in 14 months. It might be worth exercising this option if you feel you haven’t exhausted all potential

opportunities.

I believe you have the best interests of the city at heart, and you have all been elected (and appointed!) to make these tough decisions. Good luck with your deliberations.

Susan Stewart

From: [Jerry Weber](#)
To: [Council](#)
Subject: Vistra Stacks - C-1 on Agenda for Oct. 26th, 2021 Council Meeting
Date: Monday, October 25, 2021 10:40:10 AM

Dear Council Members,

Please vote in favor of sending a letter to Vistra Corp. to “Authorize the City Manager to send a letter to Vistra Corp informing them City releases Vistra from City option to remain on MBPP facilities.”

I am in favor of the stacks coming down. It will be quite an improvement to the beautiful view of the rock and “The Rock” will become our town’s Eiffel Tower and visitors (who spend lots of money here) will really enjoy the improved views.

The City of Morro Bay really can’t afford to maintain old, unusable towering stacks of old (circa 1950’s) cement. They are an accident waiting to happen. Tear them down!

Thanks for your stewardship to maintain a great little town to live in.

Nancy Weber

[REDACTED]

Morro Bay, CA 93442

[REDACTED]

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

From: [Scott Collins](#)
To: [Dana Swanson](#); [Heather Goodwin](#)
Subject: Fw: "Stacks"
Date: Monday, October 25, 2021 7:29:15 AM

For Council correspondence.

-Scott

From: Tina Wener <twener@morrobayca.gov>
Sent: Saturday, October 23, 2021 12:24 PM
To: Scott Collins <scollins@morrobayca.gov>
Subject: 'Stacks'

Hi Scott,

I'm emailing you directly to give you my citizen input regarding the stacks. Please let me know if this is better sent to the City Council?

I have been a resident of Morro Bay for just under four years. Long enough to have become oddly fond of the three stacks, remnants of a once vital business. I find the blinking red lights a comfort returning from San Luis Obispo on a foggy night. They say 'home'.

This is genuine affection and sentimentality which I acknowledge in my heart and to others in our community who have lived here much longer and may have even earned incomes from the facility in its heyday.

But this method of producing electricity is obsolete technology. The plant was clearly shuttered when it was no longer financially viable. Leaving this facility empty and aging as an efigy to community sentimentality is, frankly for me, an absurd decision. Prohibitively expensive, keeping this old site is likely to drive this city deeper into debt sucking up thousands (millions?) of dollars that (were these funds even available) could be better spent on other critical needs for Morro Bay and its citizens and tourists.

I support the plan to create a battery storage facility as part of this site in support of newer technology for energy production and storage and in support of cleaner energy production for our world.

I support demolishing the stacks and 'clean-up' of the site at the current owner's expense.

I support ensuring a clear, secure easement for Morro Bay's new Water Reclamation Facility.

I would like request the City explain publically in on-going sessions:

1. the further economic impact on Morro Bay when Diablo Canyon goes off-line
2. a projection of possible 'business' tax revenue that might result from having an active battery storage site instead of an inactive, decaying factory?
3. how wind technology development may aid Morro Bay
 - a. Can our City claim any dedicated electricity production for our own consumption?
 - b. Can our City claim any dedicated storage for our own consumption?
4. a possible reasonably 'priced' tribute erected to the Stacks once they are demolished (perhaps a citizen contest to submit ideas?)

Thank you for your time in reading my opinion.

Regards,

Tina Wener



Morro Bay, CA 93442

Dana Swanson

From: betty winholtz <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 3:41 AM
To: John Heading; Jeffrey Heller; Dawn Addis; Laurel Barton; Jennifer Ford
Cc: Dana Swanson; Scott Collins
Subject: agenda item c-1

Dear City Council:

I would suggest that you are violating your own guidance for the following reasons:

When the City Council signed the MOU with Vistra, "Council specified the importance of meaningful public engagement, including engagement on the future of the former MBPP exhaust stacks (stacks)." To that end, "City Council tasked staff with conducting community outreach on the question of the stacks, to help educate the public on the stacks and receive community input." Notice two goals: educate and receive input.

Thus a forum was held which did the former, educate; yet, there was little time for input (only questions were submitted in advance). To supplement, the Planning Commission requested a time to receive public input which was held last week. This garnered a few public comments, as it was the last item on the agenda, and much discussion amongst the commissioners.

Now that the public has a "shared information base," when and how is there a chance for public comment: the point of the education? Council is being asked to take a side tonight, even though the deadline with Vistra isn't until December 31, 2022. That's over a year away. What is the rush?

You are deciding early, and on your own opinion and/or staff's, not the public's. There is a lack of genuineness here.

Sincerely,
Betty Winholtz



AGENDA NO: C-2

MEETING DATE: October 26, 2021

**AGENDA CORRESPONDENCE
RECEIVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL FOR
PUBLIC REVIEW PRIOR TO THE MEETING**

Dana Swanson

From: Arlene Butler [REDACTED] >
Sent: Friday, October 22, 2021 8:15 PM
To: Dana Swanson
Subject: grant for senior center

The senior center is a blessing for all us seniors and we want to help support any and all grants to help keep it up to date and nice/\. Please know that we aggressively support and appreciate the city's help to keep us going.

Thank you,
arlene Butler

Dana Swanson

From: kathy Hennekey <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 22, 2021 7:19 PM
To: Dana Swanson
Subject: Community Grant

Dear Council,

Please award the Community Grant funds to the Morro BaynSenior Center for a furniture and audio equipment upgrade. The center provides educational and social activities allowing seniors to gain information and experience social contacts.

Thank you.

Kathy Hennekey

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

Dana Swanson

From: Josie Hyde <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 23, 2021 11:31 AM
To: Dana Swanson
Subject: Community Grant

Dear Council:

Morro Bay Senior Center is a non-profit, all-volunteer organization serving the large senior population in Morro Bay., and they pay rent to the city for use of their facilities.

To better serve our members, I would like to request your consideration for a Community Grant to the senior center to allow needed upgrades to the lobby area. An upgrade to include a video screen to be able to announce our many programs and activities would greatly assist our elderly population.

Thank you.

Josie Hyde

[REDACTED]
Morro Bay

Dana Swanson

From: Edward Krovitz <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 23, 2021 2:00 PM
To: Dana Swanson
Subject: Morro Bay Senior Center

Dana, Please forward to all city council members:

Dear Council,

Morro Bay Senior Center is a non-profit, all volunteer organization, paying rent to the City. Please consider awarding the Community Grant to the MB Sr. Center so we can improve and update our lobby. We love to participate in the MB Senior Center and a video screen announcing the many activities and programs would be very helpful in producing more participation.

Ed Krovitz
[REDACTED]
MB 93442

Dana Swanson

From: Jeanie Roberts [REDACTED] >
Sent: Saturday, October 23, 2021 7:56 AM
To: Dana Swanson
Subject: Senior Center Grant Message

Dear Council,

Many of the residents of Morro Bay are senior citizens, and the Morro Bay Senior Center is an integral part of our social life and well-being. I fully support the citizens' request for grant approval that will permit building upgrades to this very important non-profit, volunteer organization.

Respectfully,

Jeanette Roberts, Ph.D.
[REDACTED] Morro Bay, CA 93442

Dana Swanson

From: Lee [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, October 23, 2021 2:30 PM
To: Dana Swanson
Subject: Morro Bay Senior Center grant

Dear Council Members,

As active participants in the many activities provided by our Senior Center, we hope the council will consider awarding the Community grant to improve our lobby with better seating and video announcement capability.

Our many volunteers assist in so many valuable community activities and devote many hours to enhance our citywide projects. Please help us with better ability to grow, learn and contribute.

Sincerely,

Walt and Lee Schob

[REDACTED]
Morro Bay
Sent from my iPhone