



AGENDA NO: PC

MEETING DATE: September 13, 2022

**AGENDA CORRESPONDENCE
RECEIVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL FOR
PUBLIC REVIEW PRIOR TO THE MEETING**

From: [Sean Green](#)
To: [Council](#)
Cc: [Scott Collins](#); [Jody Cox](#); [CityClerk](#)
Subject: 9/13/22 Council Meeting: Public Comment for MBPD
Date: Saturday, September 10, 2022 7:33:47 AM

CAUTION: This is an external email. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Council,

I wanted to take a moment to acknowledge a really nice action I witnessed from Morro Bay Police Department officers outside of McDonald's last week. After being alerted to the scene by restaurant staff, two officers approached a distressed, unhoused individual calmly and respectfully, persuaded him out of the restaurant and away from others to cool off, spoke reasonably with him for several minutes, and even went back inside the restaurant to purchase a large beverage to bring out to the man, which further deescalated the situation. It may only have been a short series of events and a scenario that's far too common in town, but these officers' instincts and temperament were commendable nonetheless. Thank you for treating the individual, nearby patrons, and restaurant staff with quiet courtesy from start to finish.

Sincerely,

Sean Green
Morro Bay, CA



AGENDA NO: A-2

MEETING DATE: September 13, 2022

**AGENDA CORRESPONDENCE
RECEIVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL FOR
PUBLIC REVIEW PRIOR TO THE MEETING**

Dana Swanson

From: betty winholtz <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2022 9:49 AM
To: Dana Swanson
Subject: Fwd: city council agenda item a-2

CAUTION: This is an external email. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **betty winholtz** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Mon, Sep 12, 2022 at 11:17 PM
Subject: city council agenda item a-2
To: <jheadding@morrobayca.gov>, jheller@morrobayca.gov <jheller@morrobayca.gov>, <daddis@morrobayca.gov>, <lbarton@morrobayca.gov>, <jford@morrobayca.gov>
Cc: <eriddiough@morrobayca.gov>

Dear City Council:

The only real question is why: why is staff suggesting and why are you voting on only funding \$1.4million in street work rather than the \$2.8million quoted in Agenda Item C-1 as necessary to maintain a sinking level of service? The selling point of Measure Q was to fund street work. Where is that money?

Sincerely,
Betty Winholtz



AGENDA NO: A-4

MEETING DATE: September 13, 2022

**AGENDA CORRESPONDENCE
RECEIVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL FOR
PUBLIC REVIEW PRIOR TO THE MEETING**

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Council](#)
Subject: A4 , Sea Otter Awareness Week
Date: Saturday, September 10, 2022 6:10:20 PM

CAUTION: This is an external email. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Dear Mayor Headding and Council members,

Thank you for kindly thinking of our precious, special Sea Otters once more.

We feel lucky to have these animals live their lives so near to us.

It is very unique to have them so close to shore.

We appreciate every day we can go to the Rock kelp beds and watch them.

Sincerely,

Lynda and Frank Merrill

Morro Bay



AGENDA NO: C-1

MEETING DATE: September 13, 2022

**AGENDA CORRESPONDENCE
RECEIVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL FOR
PUBLIC REVIEW PRIOR TO THE MEETING**

Dana Swanson

From: betty winholtz [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2022 12:01 AM
To: John Headding; Jeffrey Heller; Dawn Addis; Laurel Barton; Jennifer Ford
Cc: Eric Riddiough; Dana Swanson
Subject: agenda item c-1

CAUTION: This is an external email. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Dear City Council:

I don't understand the connection between the labels on the "2022 Assessment Findings Maps", the "Pavement Management Projects--Prior Years", and the list in the "Full 2022 Pavement Management Program Update."

I will use as my example the island streets that the 3300 Panorama development will impact.

Sicily is listed as Fair in the Update, Good on the Assessment Map, yet identified as unpaved in the last 11 years on the Prior Years Map.

Tahiti is listed as Fair in the Update, Very Good on the Assessment Map, yet identified as unpaved in the last 11 years on the Prior Years Map.

Trinidad is listed as Poor in the Update, Poor on the Assessment Map, and identified as unpaved in the last 11 years on the Prior Years Map.[This is consistent.]

Whidbey is listed as Fair/Poor in the Update, Good on the assessment Map, and identified as unpaved in the last 11 years on the Prior Years Map.

Yerba Buena is listed as Fair in the Update, Good on the assessment Map, and having been paved in 2013.

Zanzibar is listed as Fair in the Update, Very Good on the assessment Map, and having been paved in 2016.

Please explain how a street can have different ratings at the same time.

While this is a "receive and file" report, it also looks for direction should the Council want to offer it. I strongly urge you to increase the annual amount spent on streets to the amount recommended, \$2.8million, less the City's streets continue to get worse as documented and as is predicted to continue. Use Measure Q money to its maximum.

Sincerely,
Betty Winholtz



AGENDA NO: C-2

MEETING DATE: September 13, 2022

**AGENDA CORRESPONDENCE
RECEIVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL FOR
PUBLIC REVIEW PRIOR TO THE MEETING**

Dana Swanson

From: betty winholtz [REDACTED] >
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2022 12:24 AM
To: John Headding; Jeffrey Heller; Dawn Addis; Laurel Barton; Jennifer Ford
Cc: Greg Kwolek; Dana Swanson
Subject: agenda item c-2

CAUTION: This is an external email. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Dear City Council:

On page 15 of the contract, does Section 2.8 apply to streets?

How can we dispose of the "kitchen pail" if we don't use it?

Section 5.9 states the city has only 40 trash and recycle cans on the street. How much would it cost to get more?

Section 6.1 refers to money for educating the public. I have not seen that happen.

Sections 7.1, 7.2, and 7.3 are all ways for the City to get kick-backs. If the City would cancel these, then our fees could be lowered. The recent Proposition 218 raised our fees 15%. Section 7.1 by itself could lower our bills 10%. What is the City using the 10% for? I have not seen a Recycling Develop Program. I have not seen instructions on all lids saying what is allowed in that particular color container.

Sincerely,
Betty Winholtz



AGENDA NO: C-3

MEETING DATE: September 13, 2022

**AGENDA CORRESPONDENCE
RECEIVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL FOR
PUBLIC REVIEW PRIOR TO THE MEETING**

Dana Swanson

From: betty winholtz [REDACTED] >
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2022 12:33 AM
To: John Headding; Jeffrey Heller; Dawn Addis; Laurel Barton; Jennifer Ford
Cc: Greg Kwolek; Dana Swanson
Subject: agenda item c-3

CAUTION: This is an external email. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Dear City Council:

I was in favor of this when the City was seeking a new location for its sewer plant. What is being suggested now is friendly and regional in perspective, but we no longer have enough State Water to cover the City let alone 126 new customers on top of all the new building taking place inside the City limits.

Sincerely,
Betty Winholtz